

# European Network of Councils for the Judiciary (ENCJ)

Reseau européen des Conseils de la Justice (RECJ)

# Questionnaire indicators quality of the Judiciary 2022-2023

### Please fill in your country of origin:

**General remark**: The advice of the project team is to have the questionnaire filled in by a working group consisting of about 3 judges with relevant knowledge on the topic of quality, and (some members of) the Council for the Judiciary/equivalent body.

#### *Instructions before filling in the questionnaire:*

The questions can be answered digitally by ticking the boxes in this document. Unless specifically made clear, please give only one answer for Criminal and Civil each.

#### Differences among courts:

In your country arrangements may differ among the courts. Where relevant, first instance courts and appeal courts are distinguished. Among first instance courts and among appeal courts differences may occur as well. Unless the question specifically asks you to indicate differences (see 1.1), please answer the question, keeping in mind the normal situation of the courts in your country.

#### What is meant by "standards":

In this questionnaire, please treat "standards" as meaning targets or guidelines intended to achieve timeliness and efficiency, and against which actual timescales achieved can be assessed which can be implemented in diverse ways ranging from law to custom.

#### What is meant by "summary/simplified procedures":

As indicated by Opinion no 6 of the CCJE, there are major differences in terminology in this area. Not all states understand the concept of summary, simplified and accelerated procedures in the same sense. Please answer this question according to your system, with a short-cut or fast-track procedure in mind.

#### Indicator A1: standards for courts about the duration of cases

1.1 Are standards - either for courts?	mal or informal - in	place for the o	duration of cases a	at first instance
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				
	1			
(from beginning to end)?  The overall procedure	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
processing.			_	
1.3 Are standards - either for	mal or informal - in	place for the	duration of cases	at appeal courts?
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				
-	•			

1.4 If standards are in beginning to end)	•	do these st	andards ge	enerall	y apply	to the o	verall pr	ocedure (fron
	Crimin	al cases	Scores		Civil ca	ses	Scores	
The overall procedure								
1.5 If standards are in	place a	t the first ins	stance cou	rts, are	tney m	ionitored	i at least	annually?
	Crimin	al cases	Scores		Civil ca	ses	Scores	
Yes								
No								
1.6 If standards are in				e they				lly?
	Crimin	al cases	Scores		Civil ca	ses	Scores	
Yes								
No								
1.7 Are standards real	ized in إ	oractice in th	ne cases to	which	they a	oply, in fi	rst instar	nce courts?
		Criminal ca	ises	Score	S	Civil cas	es	Scores
All cases								
More than 2/3 types of	cases							
Between 1/3 and 2/3 ty cases	pes of							
Less than 1/3 types of ca	ases							
No types of cases								
Not known								

	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				
Not known				

1.9 Is information about the realization of these standards accessible to the public?					
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores	
Available on official website					
Other					
Not available					

Indicator A2: Standards for parties about the duration of cases

2.1 Are there rules (whether laws, codes/rules of civil procedure or otherwise) specifying time limits for parties in first instance courts, e.g. to present documents?				
innits for parties in first instail	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				

Note: in criminal cases rules may be applicable either to the prosecution or the defendant or both.

2.2 Can the first instance cou applicable time limits refer	•	•	vho fail to com	ply with the
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
2.3 Are there rules (whether law for parties in appeal courts,		•	r otherwise) spe	cifying time limits
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				

2.4 Can the appeal court impos	se sanctions on pa	rties who fail to	comply with the	e applicable
time limits referred to in 2.3	B above ?			
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
2.5 Do judges at first instance management in a case?			_	
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
2.6 Do judges at first instance directions if a party does no	•	ver to enforce s	uch case mana	gement
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				

	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				

# **Indicator A3: summary / simplified procedures**

	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
3.2 Are summary or simplified	d procedures avail	able in appropria	te cases in appe	al courts?
3.2 Are summary or simplified	d procedures avail	able in appropria	te cases in appe	al courts?
3.2 Are summary or simplified				
			Civil	
All cases	Criminal cases		Civil	
All cases  More than 2/3 types of cases  Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of	Criminal cases		Civil	
3.2 Are summary or simplified  All cases  More than 2/3 types of cases  Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases  Less than 1/3 of types of cases	Criminal cases		Civil	

# Indicator A4: Digital case filing and digital procedures

	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				
4.2 Can cases be commenced		courts?		
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				
4.3 Can procedures at first in are digital, up to the hea		<i>,</i>	he sense that all o	communications
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				

		Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases					
More than	2/3 types of cases				
Between 1,	/3 and 2/3 types of				
Less than 1	/3 types of cases				
No types o	f cases				
	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores	
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores	
Yes		Scores		Scores	
Yes No	cases	Scores	cases	Scores	
No	cases		cases	Scores	
No	cases		cases	Scores	
No	cases	ed at appeal courts	cases		
No	cases   hearings be conducted  Criminal	ed at appeal courts	cases  cases  cases		

4.7 Can litigants inform then	, , , , ,			
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 types of cases				
No types of cases				

4.8 Are on-line dispute resol	4.8 Are on-line dispute resolution mechanisms available or being developed at the courts?					
		Scores				
Fully available						
Partially available						
Being developed						
None						

Note: ODR mechanisms concern new procedures that are developed on the basis of digital possibilities and thus differ from current procedures. Think of procedures that allow sequential communication between parties and the court (e.g. by means of email) to replace hearings.

# **Indicator A5: Specialisation of judges**

	5.1 Do specialised judges/courts/departments/ chambers exist in first instance courts that deal with the following types of cases:    Ves						
	Yes	No	Scores				
Family and juvenile law							
Intellectual property law							
Commercial law							
Insolvency law							
Certain types of crimes							
Labour law							
Other that are deemed appropriate							
5.2 Do specialised judges the following types o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	chambers exist in courts	of appeal that deal with				
	Yes	No	Scores				
Family and juvenile law							
Intellectual property law							
Commercial law							
Insolvency law							
Certain types of crimes							
Labour law							
Other that are deemed appropriate							

6.1 Are the competencies of the judges of a court evaluated on a regular basis, in a manner

\*Source CCJE opinion number 15. Paragraph 42. Indicator A6: Performance evaluation of judges

distribution of the judges of the court across areas of la		izations? <sup>1</sup>
		Scores
With respect to training needs and distribution of areas of law and specialization		
Only with respect to training needs		
Only with respect to distribution of areas of law and specialization		
No		
6.2 Is the individual performance of judges with response professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearing regular basis, in a manner that respects the independence possible <sup>2</sup> .	s and judicial	ethics reviewed on a
professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearing regular basis, in a manner that respects the independe	s and judicial	ethics reviewed on a
professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearing regular basis, in a manner that respects the independe	s and judicial	ethics reviewed on a ges? Multiple answers
professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearing regular basis, in a manner that respects the independe possible <sup>2</sup> .	s and judicial nce of the jud	ethics reviewed on a ges? Multiple answers
professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearings regular basis, in a manner that respects the independe possible <sup>2</sup> .  Number of cases	s and judicial nce of the jud	ethics reviewed on a ges? Multiple answers
professional quality of decisions, conduct at hearing regular basis, in a manner that respects the independe possible <sup>2</sup> .  Number of cases  Duration of procedures	s and judicial nce of the jud	ethics reviewed on a ges? Multiple answers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: only answer in the affirmative if the evaluation conforms with the criteria of the ENCJ, as specified in the indicators on independence and accountability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note: only answer in the affirmative if the evaluation conforms with the criteria of the ENCJ, as specified in the indicators on independence and accountability.

No					
6.3 Is	the conduct of the	judge part of	court user satisfact	tion surveys, if a	ny?
		Scores			
Yes					
No					
e proces	s from the perspect	ive of accessib	oility		
	_	providing pub	olic funding to litiga	ants without me	eans to pay for litigation
	nemselves?				ans to pay for litigation
tŀ	Criminal cases	providing pub Scores	Civil cases	Scores	ans to pay for litigation
th 'es	nemselves?				ans to pay for litigation
res No	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores	eans to pay for litigation
'es No	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores	
/es No 1.2 D	Criminal cases  Cres the system prov	Scores  vide for adeque	Civil cases	Scores  n for such litigan  Scores	
Yes No  1.2 D	Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases	Scores  ride for adeques  Scores  2	Civil cases  Civil cases  Civil cases	Scores  for such litigan  Scores  2	
tles No  1.2 D Yes No	Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases	Scores  ride for adeques Scores 2 0	Civil cases	Scores  of for such litigan  Scores  2 0	ts at public expense?
the ves	Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases	Scores  ride for adeques Scores 2 0	Civil cases	Scores  for such litigan  Scores  2  0  o qualify under	
the Yes No  1.2 D Yes No  1.3 Is	Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases	Scores  ride for adeques Scores 2 0	Civil cases	Scores  of for such litigan  Scores  2 0	ts at public expense?
Yes No  1.2 D Yes No	Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases  Criminal cases	Scores  ride for adeques Scores 2 0	Civil cases	Scores  for such litigan  Scores  2  0  o qualify under	ts at public expense?

ention?) for hearing a
ing parties to 1

8.4 Does the court have power to reduce complexity (for example, by requiring parties to reac agreement on certain issues/aspects) before the hearing of the appeal?							
	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores			
	cases		cases				
Yes							
No							

# **Indicator B9: Dealing with abusive conduct**

9.1 Is the judge able to take action to prevent abusive conduct by parties and/or their lawyers?						
	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores		
	cases		cases			
Yes						
No						

9.2 If the answer to 9.1 is yes, can the judge do any of the following (please indicate as many as are applicable):						
	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Score		
	cases		cases	s		
Stop or stay the proceedings						
Speed up the proceedings						
Make adverse costs orders						
Impose fines						
Report to a disciplinary body						

# **Indicator B10: Availability of appeal**

10.1 Can an unsuccessful lit	igant bring an app	eal?		
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
10.2 Is there a filtering syst full hearing?	em to prevent ap	peals which are w	rithout merit fro	om proceeding to a
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Datum - 1/2 and 2/2 toward of				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
cases				
cases Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
cases Less than 1/3 of types of cases		pend/order a stay		tance decision?
cases Less than 1/3 of types of cases No types of cases		pend/order a stay		tance decision?
cases Less than 1/3 of types of cases No types of cases  10.3 If an appeal is brought,		pend/order a stay		cance decision?

### **Indicator B11: Communication**

procedures available	e in all official la	inguages?	
Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
es the court provide t	ranslation (inte	rpretation) facilities	when necessary?
Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
•			(interpretation) is adequate (
Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
		П	
	Criminal cases  cs the court provide to Criminal cases  here a system to eple, through vetting a	Criminal cases Scores  Criminal cases Scores  Criminal cases Scores  Criminal cases Scores  here a system to ensure the stan ple, through vetting and/or licensing	cs the court provide translation (interpretation) facilities  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases

### **Indicator B12: Access for people with disabilities**

12.1 Are special procedural arrangements available for people with disabilities <sup>3</sup> ?							
More	Score	Between	Score	Less than 1/3	Score	No	Score
than 2/3		2/3 and		of courts		courts	
of courts		1/3 of					
		courts					
				1			

12.2 If the answer to 11.1 is yes, please indicate which disabilities are catered for:								
			Between 2/3 and	Scores		Scores		Scores
	2/3 of courts		1/3 of courts		1/3 of courts		courts	
Mobility								
Sight								
Hearing								
Intellectual impairment								
Mental health impairment								
Other								

12.3 Are spe	ecial physic	cal arrangemei	nts availab	le for people wit	h disabilitie	s?	
More	Score	Between	Score	Less than 1/3	Score	No	Score
than 2/3		2/3 and		of courts		courts	
of courts		1/3 of					
		courts					

ENCJ Report on Independence, Accountability and Quality of the Judiciary 2021-2022, draft 6 May 2022 www.encj.eu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For example, special procedural arrangements for people with hearing or sight disabilities, possibility to attend court hearings remotely (e. g. due to mobility impairment) and other.

	ı		se indicate v	vhich disa			or:	
	More than	Scores	Between	Scores	Less than	Scores	No	Scores
	2/3 of		2/3 and 1/3		1/3 of		courts	
	courts		of courts		courts			
Mobility								
Sight								
Hearing								
Intellectual impairment								
Mental health impairment								
Other								

#### **Indicator B13: Access for vulnerable people**

13.1 Are spe	ecial proce	dural arranger	nents avai	lable for vulneral	ole people?		
More	Score	Between	Score	Less than 1/3	Score	No	Score
than 2/3		2/3 and		of courts		courts	
of courts		1/3 of					
		courts					

13.2 If the answ	wer to 12.1	is yes, plea	se indicate v	vho is cat	ered for:			
	More than	Scores	Between	Scores	Less than 1/3	Scores	No	Scor
	2/3 of		2/3 and 1/3		of courts		courts	es
	courts		of courts					
Children						1		
Elderly people						1		
Victims <sup>4</sup>						1		
Other						1		

 $\hbox{ENCJ Report on Independence, Accountability and Quality of the Judiciary 2021-2022, draft 6 May 2022 www.encj.eu } \\$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As defined in Article 2(1)(a) of the Directive 2012/29/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, establishing minimum standards on the rights and protection of victims an of crime, victim means: (i) a natural person who has suffered harm, including physical, mental or emotional harm or economic loss which was directly caused by a criminal offence; (ii) family members of a person whose death was directly caused by a criminal offence and who have suffered harm as a result of that person's death.

vulnerable adults										
adults										
13.3 Are spe	cial physica	al arrang	eme	nts availa	ble for v	ulnerable	people	?		
				_			_			
More	Score	Betwee	n	Score		:han 1/3	Score	No	S	core
than 2/3		•	and		of co	urts		coui	rts	
of courts		1/3	of							
		courts								
			_		_		_			_
13.4 If the an	cuarta 12	2 is yes	nlaa	ca indicat	o who is	catarad f	or.			
15.4 II the an	swer to 12.	.s is yes,	piea	se muicai	e who is	catereu	or.			
	More	Scores	Bet	ween	Scores	Less than	1/3 Scc	ores No	,	Scores
	than 2/3	500.03		and 1/3	000.03	of courts			urts	
	of courts			ourts						
Children										
Elderly peop	le□									
Victims										
Other										
vulnerable										
adults										
uality of judic	ial decision	s and its	impi	rovement	t					
			·							
14. Format of	judgments									
444			£ :						.	
14.1 Are nor types of c	_	empiates	tor j	uagment	s and otr	ier judicia	aecisio	ns availat	oie for sta	naaraised
types of C	asts:									
			Crimi	inal cases	S	cores	С	ivil	Scor	es
								ases		
All cases										
More than 2/3	3 types of c	ases								

Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of

Less than 1/3 of types of cases

cases

No types of cases

No types of cases				
Indicator C15: Reasoning of jud	icial decisions			
15.1 Must judicial decisions d form?	ealing with substa	ntive issues be re	easoned either	orally or in written
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
15.2 Is it open to a judge to gi		easons either ora	Ily or in writing	where appropriate  Scores
		555.55	cases	330.33
All cases				
More than 2/3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 and 2/3 types of cases				
Less than 1/3 of types of cases				
No types of cases				
15.3 Are the reasons for judio not in writing) recorded an			ases that are giv	ven only orally (i.e.
	Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
Yes				
No				
Not applicable (because oral reasons are not permitted)				

# **Indicator C16: Clarity of judicial decisions**

16.3 Is there a system outside of appeal for ensuring that judicial decisions are clunderstandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Es   D		Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
Other judges (such as appeal courts, Supreme Court)  Academia	The parties				
as appeal courts, Supreme Court)  Academia	Public in general				
Supreme Court)  Academia	Other judges (such	n 🗆			
Academia	as appeal courts,				
16.2 Is there an express obligation (e.g. by law, regulations or practice) to use language in judecisions which is clearly understandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Counderstandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Civil cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Cores Scores Civil cases Civil cases Scores C	Supreme Court)				
decisions which is clearly understandable?    Criminal cases	Academia				
16.3 Is there a system outside of appeal for ensuring that judicial decisions are clunderstandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  Cool Cool Cool Cool Cool Cool Cool Coo	decisions v	·		Civil cases	Scores
16.3 Is there a system outside of appeal for ensuring that judicial decisions are clunderstandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores  co		Criminal cases	Scores	_	Scores
16.3 Is there a system outside of appeal for ensuring that judicial decisions are clunderstandable?    Criminal cases   Scores   Civil cases   Scores	es			Ш	
understandable?  Criminal cases Scores Civil cases Scores es   O   I   I   I   I   I   I   I   I   I					
17.1 Are samples of judgments peer-reviewed by judges in order to assess the quality of jud decisions on a regular basis (outside the appeal process)?  Scores			annel for	_	ial decisions are als
icator C17: Assessment of quality of judicial decisions  17.1 Are samples of judgments peer-reviewed by judges in order to assess the quality of jud decisions on a regular basis (outside the appeal process)?  Scores	16.3 Is there a understan	system outside of dable?		nsuring that judic	
decisions on a regular basis (outside the appeal process)?  Scores		system outside of dable?		nsuring that judic	
	16.3 Is there a understanding of the second	system outside of dable?  Criminal cases  □ □ □ sment of quality of justice.	Scores Judicial decisions	Civil cases	Scores
Yes	16.3 Is there a understanders and understanders	system outside of dable?   Criminal cases	Scores  Idicial decisions -reviewed by ju	Civil cases	Scores
	16.3 Is there a understanders  Yes No  dicator C17: Assess	system outside of dable?   Criminal cases	Scores  Idicial decisions -reviewed by ju	Civil cases	Scores
	16.3 Is there a understanders and understanders	system outside of dable?    Criminal cases	Scores  Idicial decisions -reviewed by ju	Civil cases	Scores

# **Indicator 18: Education of judges**

18.1 Is w	riting of judio	cial decisions part of the initial training of judges?
		Scores
Yes		
No		
	_	rses available to a useful and high standard which are designed to rying out their duties effectively?
		Scores
Yes		
No		
	·	
18.3 If the regul		18.2 is yes, are judges required to attend such training courses
		Scores
Yes		
No		

# Providing public access to the law to guide society

#### **Indicator D19: Access to case law**

	·	re judicial decisions p Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores
		cases		cases	
All cases					
More than 2/3	3 types of cases				
Between 1/3 a	and 2/3 types of				
Less than 1/3	types of cases				
No types of ca	ises				
-	e of these) judicia you have to pay t	I decisions are publis to get access?	hed, are these ac	ccessible for free	or for a nomin
-	you have to pay t	•	Civil	Scores	or for a nomin
fee or do	you have to pay t	o get access?			or for a nomin
fee or do	Criminal cases	o get access?	Civil		or for a nomin
fee or do Free Nominal fee	Criminal cases	o get access?	Civil		or for a nom
Free Nominal fee Paid	Criminal cases	o get access?	Civil cases	Scores	
Free Nominal fee Paid	Criminal cases	Scores Scores Cant first instance jud	Civil cases	Scores	
Free Nominal fee Paid	Criminal cases	Scores Scores Cant first instance jud	Civil cases  Civil	Scores	

Cr	riminal	Scores	Civil	Scores	
	ises	555.55	cases		
Yes $\square$	]				
No 🗆	]				
19.5 Is statistic	cal information	about the outcome	s of cases made a	vailable for the n	nublic?
	riminal	Scores	Civil	Scores	
	ises	333.33	cases	300.00	
Yes □					
No 🗆	]				
		Criminal cases	Scores	Civil cases	Scores
All cases		cases		cases	
More than 2/3 t	ypes of cases				
Between 1/3 an					
Between 1/3 an cases	d 2/3 types of				
Between 1/3 an cases Less than 1/3 ty	d 2/3 types of pes of cases				
fee or do yo	d 2/3 types of pes of cases	decisions are publi	shed, are these a		or for a nomin
Between 1/3 an cases Less than 1/3 ty No types of case  19.7 If (some of fee or do you	d 2/3 types of pes of cases es of these) judicial ou have to pay t	decisions are public o get access?		ccessible for free	or for a nomir
Between 1/3 and cases Less than 1/3 ty No types of case  19.7 If (some of fee or do you	d 2/3 types of pes of cases es of these) judicial ou have to pay t	decisions are public o get access?	Civil	ccessible for free	or for a nomin

	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores	
	cases		cases		
Yes					
No					
pred	edent pointed out t	to the public?			
•		·			
•	Criminal	Scores	Civil	Scores	
•	Criminal cases	•	Civil cases	Scores	
•		•		Scores	
Yes No		•		Scores	

Thank you for filling in the questionnaire.

Criminal

cases

Yes No

---END---

Civil

cases

Scores

Scores